THE EFFECT OF VELOCITY, IMPERFECTION AND MATERIAL MODELS ON THE CRUSHING OF ALUMINUM CORRUGATED STRUCTURE

M. Güden^{*}, M. Sarıkaya, İ. Canbaz, Alper Taşdemirci

Mechanical Engineering Department, Dynamic Testing and Modelling Laboratory Izmir Institute of Technology, Urla, Izmir, Turkey *Dynamic Testing and Modeling Laboratory and Department of Mechanical Engineering, Izmir Institute of Technology,

Gülbahçe Köyü, Urla, Izmir, Turkey

Abstract

The velocity dependent crushing stress of metallic cellular structures including Al foams, honeycombs and corrugated structures has been on the focus of scientific interest as their applications are widening into automobile and aerospace industries. They are widely used as core in sandwich structures to improve overall performance of sandwiches and also absorb part of impact energies. The strain dependent crushing stress of these materials is well known and the examples are found in refs. [1-5]. Briefly, the strain rate sensitivity of metallic cellular structures are due to compressed air in between crushed/bent/folded cells, the strain rate sensitivity of cell wall material, the micro-inertia of cell wall bending and inertia/shock formation [6]. The strain rate sensitivity of cell wall material increases the crushing stress of metallic cellular structures at increasing impact velocities [7, 8]. Similarly, the micro-inertia referred to as the delay of overall cell wall buckling increases cell wall buckling loads at increasing velocities [9, 10]. The shock mode of deformation however appears above a critical velocity with an indication of higher impact-end stress than distal-end stress. The difference between impact-end and distal-end stress increases as impact velocity increases above the critical velocity for shock formation [4, 5]. The velocity-dependent deformation behavior of Al closed and open-cell foams, Al honeycombs and Al single- and double-layer corrugated cores were previously determined experimentally and numerically [5, 11-21]. However, the effect of imperfections on cell walls and the cell-wall material mechanical behavior on the crushing behavior have not been fully investigated and fully understood, yet.

In this study the quasi-static compression (0.0048 m s-1) and direct and Taylor-like (20-200 m s-1) impact loading of a multilayer 1050 H14 aluminum corrugated core were investigated both experimentally and numerically in LS-DYNA using the perfect and imperfect sample models with perfect, strain hardening and strain rate hardening material models. In the imperfect sample models, one- or two- layer of corrugated fin structure were replaced by the fin layers made of bent-type cell walls.

The localized deformation in the quasi-static imperfect models of cylindrical sample started at the imperfect layers, the same as the tests, and the layers were compressed until about the densification strain in a step-wise fashion. The localized deformation in the perfect models; however, started at the layers at and near the top and bottom of the test sample. In the shock mode, the sample crushed sequentially starting at the impact end layer regardless the perfect or imperfect sample models were used. Furthermore, the perfect and imperfect models resulted in nearly the same initial crushing stresses in the shock mode. The layer strain histories revealed a velocity-dependent layer densification strain. Both model types, the imperfect and perfect, well approximated the stress-time histories and layer deformations of the shock mode. The r-p-p-l model based on the numerically determined densification strains also showed well agreements with the experimental and numerical plateau stresses of the shock mode.

The increase of velocity from quasi-static to 20 m s-1 also increased the numerical distal-end initial peak-stress in the direct impact tests, while it almost stayed constant between 20 and 250 m s-1 for all material models. The increased distal-end initial peak-stress of strain rate insensitive models from quasi-static to 20 m s-1 confirmed the effect of micro-inertia. The numerical models further indicated a negligible effect of used material models on the impact-end stress of investigated

structure. Finally, the contribution of strain rate to the distal-end initial peak-stress of cellular structures made of low strain rate sensitive Al alloys was shown to be relatively low as compared with that of strain hardening and micro-inertia, but it might be substantial for the structures constructed using relatively high strain rate sensitive alloys.

Keywords: Cellular structure, impact loading, imperfection, shock stress, modelling

References:

- [1] Langseth, M. and O.S. Hopperstad, *Static and dynamic axial crushing of square thin-walled aluminium extrusions*. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 1996. **18**(7-8): p. 949-968.
- [2] Paul, A. and U. Ramamurty, *Strain rate sensitivity of a closed-cell aluminum foam*. Materials Science and Engineering a-Structural Materials Properties Microstructure and Processing, 2000. **281**(1-2): p. 1-7.
- [3] Zhao, H., I. Elnasri, and S. Abdennadher, *An experimental study on the behaviour under impact loading of metallic cellular materials*. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences, 2005. **47**(4-5): p. 757-774.
- [4] Tan, P.J., et al., *Dynamic compressive strength properties of aluminium foams. Part I experimental data and observations.* Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 2005. **53**(10): p. 2174-2205.
- [5] Zou, Z., et al., *Dynamic crushing of honeycombs and features of shock fronts*. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 2009. **36**(1): p. 165-176.
- [6] Sun, Y.L. and Q.M. Li, *Dynamic compressive behaviour of cellular materials: A review of phenomenon, mechanism and modelling.* International Journal of Impact Engineering, 2018. **112**: p. 74-115.
- [7] Alvandi-Tabrizi, Y., et al., *High strain rate behavior of composite metal foams*. Materials Science and Engineering a-Structural Materials Properties Microstructure and Processing, 2015. **631**: p. 248-257.
- [8] Jung, A., A.D. Pullen, and W.G. Proud, *Strain-rate effects in Ni/Al composite metal foams from quasi-static to low-velocity impact behaviour*. Composites Part a-Applied Science and Manufacturing, 2016. **85**: p. 1-11.
- [9] Tam, L.L. and C.R. Calladine, *INERTIA AND STRAIN-RATE EFFECTS IN A SIMPLE PLATE-STRUCTURE UNDER IMPACT LOADING*. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 1991. **11**(3): p. 349-377.
- [10] Calladine, C.R. and R.W. English, STRAIN-RATE AND INERTIA EFFECTS IN THE COLLAPSE OF 2 TYPES OF ENERGY-ABSORBING STRUCTURE. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences, 1984. 26(11-1): p. 689-&.
- [11] Deshpande, V.S. and N.A. Fleck, *High strain rate compressive behaviour of aluminium alloy foams*. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 2000. 24(3): p. 277-298.
- [12] Lopatnikov, S.L., et al., Dynamics of metal foam deformation during Taylor cylinder-Hopkinson bar impact experiment. Composite Structures, 2003. **61**(1-2): p. 61-71.
- [13] Radford, D.D., V.S. Deshpande, and N.A. Fleck, *The use of metal foam projectiles to simulate shock loading on a structure*. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 2005. **31**(9): p. 1152-1171.
- [14] Tan, P.J., et al., Dynamic compressive strength properties of aluminium foams. Part II 'shock' theory and comparison with experimental data and numerical models. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 2005. 53(10): p. 2206-2230.
- [15] Elnasri, I., et al., *Shock enhancement of cellular structures under impact loading: Part I experiments.* Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 2007. **55**(12): p. 2652-2671.
- [16] Liu, H., et al., Performance of aluminum foam-steel panel sandwich composites subjected to blast loading. Materials & Design, 2013. 47(0): p. 483-488.
- [17] Wang, S.L., et al., *Dynamic material parameters of closed-cell foams under high-velocity impact*. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 2017. **99**: p. 111-121.
- [18] Kilicaslan, C., I.K. Odaci, and M. Gueden, *Single- and double-layer aluminum corrugated core sandwiches under quasi-static and dynamic loadings.* Journal of Sandwich Structures & Materials, 2016. **18**(6): p. 667-692.
- [19] Gaitanaros, S. and S. Kyriakides, Dynamic crushing of aluminum foams: Part II Analysis. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 2014. 51(9): p. 1646-1661.
- [20] Zhao, H. and G. Gary, Crushing behaviour of aluminium honeycombs under impact loading. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 1998. 21(10): p. 827-836.
- [21] Qiao, J.X. and C.Q. Chen, *In-plane crushing of a hierarchical honeycomb*. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 2016. **85-86**: p. 57-66.